ARIMAA NOKKU
ISSN: 2320 - 4842 (P)
ISSN: 3049-2688 (O)
International Conference on Indian Knowledge Systems (ICIKS 2026)
ICIKS-2026

Indigenous Financial Systems, Ethical Finance, and Sustainable Economic Models

Dr. Raghvendra Bochaliya
Department of Commerce,
Atma Ram Sanatan Dharma College
New Delhi, India

Abstract

This research is basically based on available literature including articles published in different journals, policy papers, books, and other reliable sources across the world. The researcher has employed detailed analysis approach where he investigates the similar characteristics of conventional financial systems and its different alternatives. Profit-driven financial systems expand the strength of ethical finance and Indigenous financial models to promote the social equity, sustainability, and long time period community well-being.

This paper adopts different qualitative and conceptual research designs which are based on broad review of inter-disciplinary literature of ethical finance, sustainable investment and Indigenous economic models.

This study suggests that different policymakers, financial organizations and investors should incorporate sustainability metrics, community centered investment strategies, and ethical governance standards into financial decision-making process.

By Recognizing Indigenous knowledge systems with concurrent ethical finance frameworks, the paper defines a transformative outlook on how finance can evolve to support societies, markets and the planet simultaneously.

The research will primarily be based on their available literature including articles published in journals, policy papers, books, and other reliable sources across the world. The researcher has employed a comparative analysis approach where he investigated the similar attributes/ characteristics of traditional financial systems and alternatives.

Keywords

Ethical Finance, Indigenous financial systems, sustainable investment, community development, environment sustainability

Introduction

The modern world economy has changed quickly over the years as it has developed from a traditional economic model that relies on capitalism and private ownership to one that relies on more than just profitable as the main motivation of the financial system. Although capitalism creates incentives for technological advancements and provides opportunities for people to become prosperous, it has created many different types of significant and serious issues that are preventing countries from reaching their full potential and contributing to long-term sustainability and prosperity. In this section, we discuss the main issues with the existing global financial system and also introduce some alternative financial solutions that have developed as a result of the failures of the traditional financial systems. Some alternatives can be found outside of the traditional capitalistic system such as indigenous financial systems, ethical finance, and sustainable economic systems; but regardless of where they come from, they provide us with an alternative view of how we can organize money, markets and resources to help in solving the problems of the current financial system.

Problems with the Capitalistic System

Although there has been a lot of economic growth over the years due to the capitalistic system, there are many severe macroeconomic problems that need to be addressed urgently.

Growing Wealth Inequality

Wealth has become increasingly concentrated in the hands of a small group of people in the last few decades. The gap between rich and poor is now wider than it has ever been both within country and across countries. The World Inequality Report (WIR) shows that the richest 1% of the world's population now owns an excessive proportion of the overall wealth, while others continues to live below in poverty line.

In many developing countries, this divide is even more noticeable. Millions of people do not have access to basic needs such as proper education, health care or clean drinking water. As wealth continues to accumulate at the top, people at the bottom are not able to improve their lives and move up the economic ladder.

Environmental Degradation

Another significant problem is the impact of an economic system where profit is a more immediate concern than sustainability and environmental protection. Economical activities can have an effect on the environment that is not always considered in the short-term in order to make a profit. The worldwide financial crisis of 2008 exposed major shortcomings in the capitalist financial system. The speculation coupled with the lack of adequate regulation, promoted conditions for a potential global economic meltdown. There have been a number of ongoing signs of instability since 2008, such as global markets being volatile, economies in and out of recession and a high level of uncertainty over the future of the world's economy.

These challenges together emphasize the need to re-think the "how and how not" of the global financial systems and to consider alternatives to the existing financial systems which focus on economic growth that is sustainable as well as stable and equitable.

Alternative Economic Models Are Needed

The studies are increasingly showing that the traditional finance cannot be used to solve significant problems all over the world, prompting many to seek other varieties of economics. Other academics and practitioners have promoted long-term sustainability, socioeconomic equity, and equitable prosperity as ultimate objectives instead of concentrating on the profit maximization in the short term.

Emerging Alternatives To Traditional Financial Systems

Indigenous Financial Systems

The way indigenous financial systems manage their resources; the way indigenous financial systems distribute wealth; the way indigenous financial systems relate to nature in a more sustainable manner, these are all things we are learning thanks to indigenous financial systems. In such systems, the ideals are protected by culturization practices and are directed towards the common values instead of personal gains.

Ethical Finance

Ethical finance practices a distinct but complementary approach to traditional financial practices. While traditional financial practices primarily aim at achieving the highest possible returns, ethical financial practice broaden this perspective by arising the question, "How will this investment affect our society and our environment?"

Most important components of ethical finance are termed as Socially Responsible Investing (SRI) and Impact Investing. Socially Responsible Investing and Impact Investing attempt to direct the flow of funds into projects that yield positive social and environmental results, in addition to financial results. Therefore, ethical finance has positioned itself to demand the financial industry replace its typical lack of transparency, accountability, and commitment to social justice and environmental stewardship.

Sustainable Economic Models

Instead of interpreting endless growth and accumulation of wealth as the primary objectives, sustainable economic models endorse equitable balance and resilience, with the goal of allowing for sustainable long-term health and wellness for people and the planet.

Doughnut economics is a framework created by economist Kate Raworth, is a visual framework for sustainable development, balancing human needs with planetary boundaries. It aims to meet the essential needs of all people (social foundation) without exceeding the earth's ecological limits (ecological ceiling), creating a safe and just space for humanity.

Structure of the Paper

This study examines the Potential for Indigenous Financial Systems, Ethical Finance, and Sustainable Economic Models to produce consistent innovations to resolve Global Issues of Wealth Inequality, Climate Change, and Financial Instability.

Indigenous Financial Systems

Indigenous Financial Systems are interconnected with cultural, ecological, and community values. Modern day financial systems are based upon an individualistic view of wealth accumulation and resource extraction; whereas Indigenous Financial Systems are based upon collective well-being, cooperation, and long-term sustainability.

Measured wealth is based on the wealth of the community, rather than the wealth of individual people; the community measures its wealth through the manner in which resources are distributed so that all people have their basic needs met and through the use of fairness and cooperation in order to guide economic activity or the economy.

Case Studies of Indigenous Financial Models

The Maori hapu (subtribe or clan) system (New Zealand) serves as outstanding example of community-driven governance. A hapu (sub-tribe) operates as a self-governing entity and is responsible for administering the land, resources and financial assets of the hapu members. While the way the hapu system administers resources distinguishes this system from other governance models, the values that underlie the financial decisions made by hapu are also significant and reflect that the guiding values of whanaungatanga (extended family relationships) and manaakitanga (hospitality/care for each other) create financial decisions that build relationships and promote collective well-being over personal financial gain.

The Maasai Livestock System (Kenya and Tanzania)

Livestock are regarded as a signifier of wealth by the Maasai; however, this does not correspond to the traditional view of individualistic wealth accumulation. Although cattle are an integral part of Maasai culture, they cannot be classified as a family's/single proprietor's property. In addition, cattle are shared by individuals belonging to the same community during times of need, thus enabling everyone access to essential goods/services. This manner of sharing encourages positive social interaction, establishes an ecological balance through responsible herd management, and reinforces the values of community support and generosity through wealth generation.

Comparing Indigenous and Modern Financial Systems

Indigenous financial systems work to create balance between communities, economies, and the environment, and are also primarily based on collective ownership, and cooperation instead of individual ownership.

The field of ethical finance is one of the fastest growing areas of the finance industry. Ethical finance incorporates social, environmental, and governance (ESG) factors into investment decisions. Unlike traditional investors, who tend to disregard the potential long-term effects of their investment decisions, ethical finance focuses on aligning financial goals with the community's values and long-term interests. Ethical finance also addresses many of the negative impacts created by traditional financial systems, such as environmental harm, labor abuse, and increases in inequality.

What Is Ethical Finance?

Ethical finance is an investment strategy that incorporates more than just the possibility of a financial return into the evaluation of a potential investment. It recognizes that there are various aspects of a good business opportunity, including social impact; environmental sustainability; and governance.

By challenging the idea of profit as the sole measure of success, ethical finance is encouraging the financial industry to create a more holistic definition of success that includes a benefit to all of society.

Ethical Finance Principles

Ethical finance incorporates a number of principles including:-

Integrity and Honesty: Acting truthfully, ethically, and maintaining high moral standards in all dealings.

Transparency and Disclosure: Providing accurate, timely, and comprehensive information to stakeholders to build trust.

Social and Environmental Responsibility (ESG): Investing in initiatives that support sustainable, positive impacts on people and the planet, rather than harmful activities.

Accountability: Taking responsibility for actions, decisions, and their impact on society.

Fairness and Non-Discrimination: Ensuring fair lending, investing, and service provision, regardless of, for example, ethnicity or gender.

Ethical Finance & Corporate Governance

Ethical finance is transforming how companies approach governance. ESG criteria are becoming increasingly more important to investors, who are judging companies not solely by short-term profits, but by their long-term sustainability and accountability.

Those companies utilizing the ESG principles in their overall business strategy will tend to gain credibility attract investors, who value social problems, and become leaders in the sphere of sustainability. In this way, the ethical finance helps to make businesses more future- and responsibility-oriented.

Sustainable Economic Models

Sustainable economic systems develop new styles of production and consumption rather than rely upon the traditional studied "take-make-dispose" paradigm. Through a non-linear production and consumption system, many negative environmental impacts and high volume of waste will be avoided while supporting and generating long-term growth of economies and protection of limited natural resources and fair treatment of all people.

Models of Sustainable Economic Systems

Throughout years, there have cropped up a number of models that contradict the conventional view that economic success is measured by the traditional belief. These frameworks reconsider what prosperity looks like & aims to build a proper system which support both people and the planet over the long period of time.

The Circular Economy

Circular economy is shifting towards the old fashioned pattern of take, make, dispose. Instead, it encourages a closed loop system in which waste is reduced and materials reused. The products are being created to stay longer as well as to be refurbished, repaired, or reused at the end of their life cycle.

This approach has been adopted by some companies. Patagonia, in its turn, creates sustainable products and urges the customers to repair and re-use them. By its Worn Wear program. Instead, customers are allowed to trade in used gear to store credit, which keeps the products in circulation of ending up in landfills. It is a feasible illustration of how companies can make profitability and environmental responsibility.

Doughnut Economics

Doughnut economics is a visual model of economics developed by an economist, Kate Raworth. Suppose a doughnut line of diagram: the inner circle is the social. Foundation- basic needs, such as food, healthcare, education, and housing. Falling below this line means people are deprived of the necessities. The ecological ceiling is the outer ring--the environmental constraints that must not be surpassed, e.g. carbon emissions or biodiversity loss. The two boundaries are the safe and fair space for the humankind. The goal is to arrange the economy in a way that we all can have our needs satisfied without going to work on the planet too hard. Some nations, such as the Netherlands, have begun to introduce new aspects of this model to their reflections and give greater weight to the components of sustainability and equity in the distribution of resources.

The Wellbeing Economy

The wellbeing economy does not concentrate on GDP as the key measure of success. Rather, it queries: "Are human beings healthy?" Educated? Secure? "Is the world thriving?" Under this approach, public health, the environment, equality and social cohesion are allocated greater weightage than the output.

Bhutan is always referred to as a shining example. The country's success is measured by Gross National Happiness (GNH) index, which is a psychological indicator of happiness, cultural richness, social cohesion and environmental sustainability. This can be described as a more enlightened definition of prosperity, one which is related to quality of life, not economic growth.

Indigenous Contributions to Sustainable Economies

The indigenous peoples have already been sustaining themselves in a way that is not being valued in the modern economies.

In Southwest American for example, the Zuni native people adopted agricultural system suitable to the dry land conditions, including water harvesting and dry land farming. These practices enable the crops to thrive even in the face of prevailing drought conditions, and yet the soil does not become depleted and continue to produce crops year after year. These practices sustain the crops even in the drought conditions and yet the soil does not become exhausted and continue to produce crops year after year, a testimony to the success of local knowledge in maintaining sustainable food production over the long term.

The other important factor is the concept of co-managing the land. Most of the indigenous peoples do not regard the land as a business opportunity and tractable resource that may be bought and sold for a quick profit.

All these native practices can be applied in the development of sustainable economies in the current era. They remind us that caring for the environment, working together and taking responsibility for it is the key to human and environmental health in the long-term.

Research Methodology

This paper seeks to conduct a qualitative-descriptive research to identify the role of the Indigenous financial systems, ethical finance, and sustainable economic models in creating a fair and strong global economy. The research will primarily be based on their available literature including articles published in journals, policy papers, books, and other reliable sources across the world.

The study has focused on three key aspects: social equity, environmental sustainability, and economic resilience. The investigation of case studies pertaining to New Zealand's Maori Hapu system, managing Maasai livestock, investing impact, and using circular economy practices, are used as examples of how such systems/practices can be applied in real life.

A qualitative content analysis approach was utilised to find similar patterns, principles, and outcomes among the four case studies. The investigation has been carried out within a qualitative interpretative framework to provide a greater understanding of the extent to which Indigenous grounded financial practices. The study does not utilize any primary survey data but relies upon the most current literature and research to create a theoretical baseline upon which future empirical research can be conducted.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Areas of interest included analysis of key variables, including community welfare, environmental impact, financial resilience, and inclusivity to assess the degree to which each of the financial systems addressed each global challenge. The welfare of the community was assessed in terms of considering the responsibility of the traditional and alternative financial systems in solving key challenges in the world like inequities, ecological degradation and financial volatility.

The overall findings shows the ability to use different approaches as a way of changing the way we think about and utilize traditional and different financial structures as a means of addressing key global challenges.

Table 1: Comparison of Financial Models

Criteria	Traditional Finance	Ethical Finance	Indigenous/Sustainable Models
Primary Objective	Profit Maximization	Responsible Profit	Community Well-being
Resource Use	Extractive	Regulated	Sustainable
Decision Making	Shareholder-driven	Stakeholder- oriented	Collective/Consensus-based
Time Horizon	Short-term	Medium to Long-term	Long-term

Table 2: Key Benefits of Alternative Financial Systems

Dimension	Observed Benefits
Social	Improved inclusion and reduced inequality,
Environmental	Conservation of resources, Lower carbon footprint, promotion of renewable energy
Governance	ethical accountability, High transparency, participatory decision-making
Economic	Greater resilience, diversified investments, reduced systemic risk

Table 3: Analytical Interpretation of Findings

Variable	Finding	Interpretation
Community Participation	Higher in Indigenous models	Encourages equitable distribution of resources
Investment Impact	Positive social returns in ethical finance	Aligns financial growth with societal progress
Resource Management	Efficient in circular systems	Supports long-term sustainability
Risk Exposure	Lower in diversified ethical portfolios	Enhances financial stability

Conclusion

The indigenous people have been living sustainably in a way that has been yet not been recognized in the current economies.

However, the adoption of local financial systems, ethical finance and sustainable economic systems is not just a theoretical proposition, but also a call to rethink the global economy. Despite the fact that these approaches are based on different traditions and circumstances, their values are similar, to wit: fairness, responsibility, and thinking long-term.

But the possibilities for expansion are great. The models can decrease inequalities, safeguard natural systems, boost communities and establish more stable financial systems. They will enable us to gauge success not just by the monetary returns, but by the positive difference made to people and the planet.

Finally, the implementation of these models is also not an idealistic, but it becomes necessity. If the current system has been unable to sustain and is not equal, it's time it is rethought. Combining Indigenous knowledge, ethical investment and sustainable economic design will enable us to move into a more resilient global economy that will be much more in harmony with the needs of current and future generations.

References

1. Agarwal, R., & Jain, P. (2016). Impact of green banking on sustainable development in India. International Journal of Business and Management, 11(2), 45-56. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v11n2p45
2. Basu, K., & Vasudevan, R. (2017). **Economic development and poverty reduction** in India: Challenges and strategies. Economic and Political Weekly, 52(30), 55-63.
3. Bhat, M. A., & Muneer, S. (2015). Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable development: The case of Kashmir. Sustainable Development, 23(4), 245-256. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1610
4. Chopra, K. (2015). **Sustainable development goals in India**: A policy review. Indian Journal

of Economics and Development, 11(8), 1255-1261. https://doi.org/10.5958/2322-0430.2015.00324.X

5. Das, A., & Yadav, S. (2016). **Renewable energy policies in India: A comprehensive review**. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 56, 1382-1394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.093
6. Desai, V. (2018). **Socio-economic development in India: An analysis of policy frameworks and challenges**. Indian Journal of Social Development, 18(3), 45-58.
7. Gupta, S., & Kumar, R. (2014). **India's energy challenges and policy responses**: A review of policies and the way forward. Energy Policy, 70, 288-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.04.017
8. Iyer, S., & Yadav, A. (2019). **Climate change and agricultural sustainability in India**: An economic assessment. Journal of Environmental Economics, 37(4), 553-563. https://doi.org/10.1080/08975410.2019.1618044
9. Jain, M., & Khurana, S. (2020). **Corporate social responsibility in India: An evolving paradigm**. Asian Journal of Business Ethics, 9(2), 143-158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13520-020-00132-5
10. Kapoor, R. (2017). **Inclusive economic growth in India**: Challenges and opportunities. South Asia Economic Journal, 18(2), 234-248. https://doi.org/10.1177/1391561417717775
11. Kumar, N., & Singh, V. (2015). **Technology-driven education in rural India: Benefits and challenges**. International Journal of Educational Technology, 32(3), 124-133.
12. Maiti, S., & Ghosh, S. (2018). **Sustainable urbanization in India**: Policies and practices. Journal of Urban Planning and Development, 144(2), 07018004. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)UP.1943-5444.0000461
13. Mathur, P., & Bhargava, M. (2020). **Indian agriculture and sustainability: The role of policy and technology**. Environmental Science and Policy, 112, 139-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.07.013
14. Mehta, P. (2016). **Urban poverty and inequality in India**: A critical review. Indian Economic Review, 51(1), 53-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41775-016-0005-1
15. Mukherjee, S. (2014). **Public finance reforms in India: From the fiscal responsibility act to GST**. Journal of Indian Public Policy, 2(4), 10-22.
16. Patel, R., & Singh, K. (2017). **The digital divide in India: Understanding the socio-economic barriers to technology access**. Information Society, 33(6), 321-330. https://doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2017.1362255
17. Rajan, R. G. (2019). **The future of banking in India**: Financial inclusion, digital innovation, and fintech. The Banker, 169(3), 72-76.
18. Rao, R., & Varma, P. (2020). **Sustainability in Indian cities**: Urban growth and green governance. Environment and Urbanization Asia, 11(2), 145-159. https://doi.org/10.1177/0975425320966727
19. Reddy, S., & Prasad, M. (2015). **Rural development in India: Issues and policies**. Agricultural Economics Research Review, 28(2), 243-257. https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0279.2015.00035.6
20. Sharma, N., & Gupta, A. (2018). **Women's empowerment and economic development in India**. International Journal of Gender and Development, 26(1), 44-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/13552074.2018.1437570